

Subject : Mete, a Mafioso in the Making

Your Royal Highness,

Excellencies,

Following to my e-mail of this morning, the Police of Etterbeek was not prepared to help. The man at the reception was pulling a face and asking what my problem was, when I explained that I found blood while doing the cleaning in the house of Mete Kavrak, who hosted me. I changed my story a little. I didn't say that I was homeless and taking shelter there. I said that I live in Antwerp with my parents and that I came to visit a former neighbor named Elisabeth Vermeiren, and that she introduced me to Mete to see a spare room for renting.

The police agent who received me was not interested. He didn't take me to an office. He interrogated me in the reception area. He didn't bring a declaration form. He had a simple piece of paper. It was very clear that his intention was to do nothing about whatever I was going to say next.

Together with the receptionist, he looked into a computer and he revealed that no one by the name of Mete Karvak is living on chemin des deux maison n° 61. In fact, that name is not registered in the system at all. I then realized that I had the spelling wrong. I googled it and the name is Kavrak, not Karvak.

They also found nothing about Renata Bura, they said, but at the same time they also said that it's an old file, and that the death took a long time ago, meaning to say "why do you come now".

On the basis of my identity card, they informed that I was also removed from the system, since I have no address, and they made sure I would feel bad about it. They had no understanding for the reasons why I was there and what I was doing in Mete's place and even less why I was cleaning there, almost implying that I was part of a group of junkies.

While I never pronounced the word "murder" at any given time in the conversation whatsoever, the policeman warned that I should be careful when saying that someone is a murderer". "I didn't say that", I replied. "But you said that you found blood on the mattress at that address, while the suicide took place at a completely other address, then you are saying that a murder happened. You can't just accuse someone of such things", he barked. "Renate was Mete's girlfriend in the past some witnesses said", I replied. "That doesn't make him a murderer", he replied.

In the end, he said that I was doing the right thing by bringing a new element, but I should have called the police earlier, and not two days later. That was too risky for me. I took the time to organize my safety before contacting them. Mete would have deprived me of my luggage. I guess

they missed their chance for making a fool of me in Mr Kavrak's house, I suppose. I'm not late. It's the police who should have interrogated Mr Kavrak as a former lover of Renata, just like they interrogated Jeremy Hroux, who was not living with her.

He also advised that I should contact the police station which is the nearest to the place where the suicide happened. He was actually advising me to do his job, which I am not going to do. I will pass it on to inspector Van Vooren, who is part of the same police system, but almost in a different country.

He didn't write anything down. He made no records of my visit, as if I never went to the Police of Etterbeek. I will make sure they will never see me in the administration of that commune again or do any business with them, if they take sides with junkies and if they are unwilling to cooperate.

If they don't care about the blood in Mete's apartment,

I also don't give a shit about all their Marshall Plans


What I experienced today is precisely what they did in the case of Marc Dutroux : they failed to investigate key people and important clues like dried blood, and they refuse to put links, and the plaintiffs were called fools, because that is how they protect the dangerous people.

They said that the police system was going to be reformed, but apparently this is a repetition of exactly the same crap with Dutroux. They continue taking political decisions in criminal affairs. Therefore, Belgium is a criminal country where no reform will ever do, because they support criminality.

No, I am not planning to contact the police of Schaarbeek. I plan to forward my report to a Belgian party at the European Parliament, to a man named Mr Sam van Roey, with whom I had an interesting discussion about Europe. He said he wants the end of Belgium, and I tried to talk it out of his head. I focused his attention to the end of the National Bank of Belgium only, because that is easier than ending the whole of the country. "That's too big", I said. I don't think he wants to live in a country where people who slaughter others are protected by the system, and where the police functions just like in Etterbeek. That party is labelled as extreme right wing, but I felt and heard that they are doing great efforts to become likable for everyone and that is what needs to be supported and approved by everyone. This is the party which Etterbeek deserves. With this party, we shall soon find out who is protecting all the criminals.

I will keep you informed.

Yours sincerely,



Naima Mouali